



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1:

Journal Name:	<u>British Journal of Mathematics & Computer Science</u>
Manuscript Number:	2013 BJMCS 4353
Title of the Manuscript:	COST COMPUTATIONS OF FUZZY M/M1 AND M/G/1 QUEUEING MODELS
Type of the Article	Research paper

General guideline for Peer Review process is available in this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

- This form has total 7 parts. Kindly note that you should use all the parts of this review form.



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 2: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	1.- The author gives in the title one information about fuzzy computational cost, but in developing the article does not have a value in seconds but there is no evidence of how this data is obtained. Suggest is evidence to include the computational cost in view at least a pair of platforms. 2.- The author say in the abstract "This paper results in a better approach 11 for computing different queuing models in the fuzzy environment that it can be more effective than 12 deterministic queuing models." The Author not presented evidence that "so much better", also not presented evidence of the effectiveness.	The given numerical example is hypothetical example to explain the method. Now the title is changed as Fuzzy cost computations of M/M/1 and M/G/1 queuing model. Now the article developed suits with the title. Since fuzzy approach is much realistic and practical to express uncertainties cost of the queues are considered as fuzzy. Fuzzy approach is better than deterministic queuing models. Fuzzy queues are more realistic and practical. Fuzzy ranking is used to compare cost of the queues. This is modified and rewritten again in the abstract.
Minor REVISION comments		



SDI Review Form 1.6

<u>Optional/General</u> comments	I find it very interesting topic, I recommend attending the two comments. I also recommend to make a general review of the article and include a little background on each section	Thank you sir/madam Preliminaries are added.
---	---	---